

Examiners' Report

Summer 2016

Pearson Edexcel GCE in History (WHI02) Paper 1C





Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <u>www.edexcel.com</u> or <u>www.btec.co.uk</u>. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <u>www.edexcel.com/contactus</u>.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Summer 2016 Publications Code UA041796 All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2016

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link: <u>http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx</u>

Examiner Report WHI02/1C Introduction

It was pleasing to see responses of a decent standard from candidates attempting the new AS Paper WHI02/1C Russia, 1917-91 From Lenin to Yeltsin. The paper is divided into two sections. Section A contains a compulsory two-part question for the option studied, each part based on one source. It assesses source analysis and evaluation skills (AO2). Section B comprises a choice of essays that assess understanding of the period in depth (AO1) by targeting five second order concepts - cause, consequence, change/ continuity, similarity/ difference and significance.

Generally speaking, candidates found Section A more challenging mainly because some of them were not clear on what was meant by 'value' and 'weight' in the context of source analysis and evaluation. The detailed knowledge base required in Section A to add contextual

material to support/challenge points derived from the sources was also often absent. Having said this, although a few responses were quite brief, there was little evidence on this paper of candidates having insufficient time to answer questions from Sections A and B. The ability range was diverse, but the design of the paper allowed all abilities to be catered for. Furthermore, in Section B, few candidates produced wholly descriptive essays which were devoid of analysis and, for the most part, responses were soundly structured. The most common weakness in Section B essays was a lack of knowledge. It is important to realise that Section A and Section B questions may be set from any part of any Key Topic, and, as a result, full coverage of the specification is enormously important.

The candidates' performance on individual questions is considered in the next section.

Question 1

(a) On Question 1(a), stronger responses demonstrated a clear understanding of the source material on the reasons for the increased centralisation of power in the Soviet State by 1924 and showed analysis by selecting some key points relevant to the guestion, explaining their meaning and selecting material to support valid inferences (e.g. centralisation would be beneficial to all). Knowledge of the historical context concerning the reasons for the increased centralisation of power in the Soviet State by 1924 was also confidently deployed in higher scoring answers to explain or support inferences, as well as to expand or confirm some matters of detail (e.g. the outlying areas of the old Russian empire had not embraced the revolution). In addition, evaluation of the source material was related to the specified enquiry and based on valid criteria to show the value of the source. Similarly, explanation of utility referred relevantly to the nature or purpose of the source material or the position of the author (e.g. the Soviet Constitution was approved by the Congress of People's Deputies which implies majority support). Weaker responses demonstrated limited understanding of the source material on the changes to the reasons for the increased centralisation of power in the Soviet State by 1924, and attempted some analysis by selecting and summarising information and making basic/ undeveloped inferences relevant to

the question. Lower scoring answers also tended to add limited contextual knowledge to information taken from the source material to expand or confirm some points but these were not developed very far. Although related to the specified enquiry, evaluation of the source material by weaker candidates was limited and often drifted into 'lack of value' arguments. Furthermore, although the concept of utility was often addressed by noting some aspects of source provenance, it was frequently based on questionable assumptions.

SECTION A Answer ALL questions. Write your answers in the spaces provided. Study Source 1 in the Sources Booklet before you answer this question. 1 (a) Why is Source 1 valuable to the historian for an enguiry into the reasons for the increased centralisation of power in the Soviet State by 1924? Explain your answer using the source, the information given about it and your own knowledge of the historical context. (10)Source 1 is valuable because it suggests that under capitalist aptems (dre incapable of creating harmony between different notionalities, Therefore a communist and centralized power would be needed to create (harmony! This is also supported by the booksenter claim of the source that (only under the dictatorship of proletariat it has been possible to eliminate the oppression of nationalities . It is a gives This means that only a centralised government would be able to effectively rule Russia. The source gives another reason for moreased centralisation which = increases its value. With the sentences But the years of war have left their to trace. Alone, the Source + Republics are unable to deal with devastation and destruction of the forces of production 2

the source justifies the use centralisation. The & source It suggests that the the centralisation was necessary to e reconstruct the economy. Soviet republics were indeet unable to reconstruct thanket arrange on their own?. This is segan supported by the source. The source mentions that (National economic revival B impossible as long as Republics remain seperated! Haverer stra source does were prontion stoodt However, the this Source was taken from the Souriet constitution of 1924. Therefore, the maken at the Hpustifice the ney have selected the reasons of centrelisetion # which only they nanted people to learn 2bout, for example that the centralization is the vary of toward (guaranteeng external security, economic prosperity and national free development of peoples . This may be presented as a reason to gath the support of the Some Soviet people. In sum, the Source 1 can be said to

a valuable source, however, the nature of the source tends to decrease this value, as the Source Constitution is not an objective source the reasons written in it ma order to be written ní . Secure Communist rule Desition pport. 5

This is a level 1 response. There is a clear understanding of the source and relevant inferences are drawn and supported with source material. However, the evaluation of the source is asserted rather than developed and there is no use of contextual knowledge. Consequently tis response is held down in level 1.

SECTION A Answer ALL questions. Write your answers in the spaces provided. Study Source 1 in the Sources Booklet before you answer this question. 1 (a) Why is Source 1 valuable to the historian for an enguiry into the reasons for the increased centralisation of power in the Soviet State by 1924? Explain your answer using the source, the information given about it and your own knowledge of the historical context. (10)The valuable Source is k historian 0.1 given its How Knowa 9 15 provenance He Souref constitution, Ans Taw being threfore an official ded and 5 government, therefore íŁ.s intent of by the toriet. dams over murcased antrolocition of puner, Referenced 14-15 "All these considerations demand soviet Republics into our federated nL UNION infers a restrationtion of This strongly powerl. -an Since it is from the Soviet constitution an official document with will H have 15 various members of the polithours and maener increased central isoton 8 that # 8 power valuable is because nearon why 9 15 Another H highlights Huns of capitalistic SWJt 14 0 "The capitalist system has shown I field areating ncapable 1000 harmony" This alins JUVIEF the will have increased contralisation order disonity Łо anord th and nequalit 2

copitalist system. So not accels highlighting the benefits of a communist centrally planned system. but also finding fault with apotalism giving in the government the are exposed to those In text grater reason to support the processed abien followed, Capitalism centralisation acasumes as "hate" and Trequelty". The we of the referrea to hate pand the capitalist system in a word very regutive light & and will surly give Lewin quester support for his contralisation glass. know that 1924 is after the line abo period the Bew Economic policy, therefore this of. Desement of hate towards capitalism will defined likely change peoples feelings towards the policy and lead people & which the government to believe the in the importance of a reform and a movement dover to complete communism by way of centralisation, By referencing rapitalism in a registive way the Dure is successful in highlighting four faults upp a capitalist run demarray and the fore infers fault with capitalism which will back up reason greates central action in order to for achiven in the political weave by establishing a greater ge more spheres of savety influence over MAR all mentions the guaranteeing SUBRE also of external security which would and be achieved

by the extertishment of it a one party state. So we know that the historian can use the fact that regions, be it within Russia or within the U.S.S.R could rely on would sublidy marcaved centraliantion secorty extinal particularly unter I more appealing for particular and representatives. regional So overall the source has been successful in portraying its case for increased centralization given to fewy critique of capitelism and high approisal of communition Soviet republics to unite in one socialist family and els aightighting the benefits of a centralised system addition to this the origin and t of Soule Os and a historious that it is contim interest of the government to chyplenest such a policy of macaued centralisation.

This is a level 3 response. There is a good comprehension of the source material and valid inferences are drawn and supported. Knowledge is applied to the source material to support it and to develop inferences and there is some sophisticated reasoning used to discuss the value of the source.

(b) On Question 1(b) stronger responses demonstrated understanding of the source material on the reasons why Boris Yeltsin was elected as President of Russia in June 1991 and showed analysis by selecting key points relevant to the question, explaining their meaning and selecting material to support valid inferences (e.g. Yeltsin's election was the result of popular support for his arguments for an independent Russia). Knowledge of the historical context concerning the reasons why Boris Yeltsin was elected as President of Russia in June 1991 was also confidently deployed in higher scoring answers to explain or support inferences as well as to expand, confirm or challenge some matters of detail (e.g. Yeltsin represented radical Russians who stood for constitutional reform and a market economy). In addition, evaluation of the source material was related to the specified enquiry and explanation of weight referred relevantly to the nature or purpose of the source material or the position of the author (e.g. the personal insight offered by the author). Judgements were also based on valid criteria such Yeltsin's comments on the difficulty on being objective. Weaker responses demonstrated limited understanding of the source material on the reasons why Boris Yeltsin was elected as President of Russia in June 1991 and attempted some analysis by selecting and summarising information and making undeveloped inferences relevant to the guestion. Many candidates confused the election with the coup of August 1991 and offered knowledge that as out of period. Lower scoring answers also tended to add limited contextual knowledge to information taken from the source to expand or confirm points but this was not developed very far (e.g. attitudes to Gorbachev). Although related to the specified enquiry, evaluation of the source material by weaker candidates was limited and often lacked focus on either the 'has weight' or 'doesn't have weight' aspect of the question. Furthermore, although the concept of utility was often addressed by noting some aspects of source provenance, it was frequently based on questionable assumptions (e.g. Yeltsin may have forgotten details).

Study Source 2 in the Sources Booklet before you answer this question. (b) How much weight do you give to the evidence of Source 2 for an enguiry into the reasons why Boris Yeltsin was elected as President of Russia in June 1991? Explain your answer using the source, the information given about it and your TO POST DE LA COMPANY DE LA COMPANY own knowledge of the historical context. (15)Source 2 gives an insight not the reasons for yeltsin's electron in 189 havever it is the questionable about the source to upot extent Tepresents the opinion of the people of On aby they usted yelts in rather than for beginnith, the source was published CONTRACTOR OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP together with the memoirs of Yeltsin, KO -Suggesting that it is a rather individual ASSESSMENT rather than de Objective coolevation. Also, geltsin enjoyed great popularity, easing the publication of a gest individual Use a later options on a political issue, which night not be interested Service of the server of the s Marcales, Yeltsin argues fiercly against pro-gorbadnes candidates suggesting that Rystiku and Ballatin represented the highly unpopular Jorbachew - oro After a period of unsuccessful economic reforms, E Yeltsin was definitely and social 5 Turn over 🕨

2 more promising candidate, however it is questionable to what extend this had to do with the role of yeltsm himself of the political orientation of his rivals. Therefore, 2 historian might put less value into yeltsing evaluation of the other candidates, as & the Source is dearly effer cpinicnated and not objectively evaluating the Etneroggo Emether to lettergy Lisee page 8 On the dros hand, yeltsin goes into closer analysis at the people's way at thinking when electing him. This is a es esneblistes triod elleries as m Gentain was a representer of public conton, such as his role in the defence + gatedres against the constructive coup shous. Being an actual witness, his evaluation of the role models of JO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA the condidates has definitely got mare weight. The fact that gabacher represented the ord of the burg Union is approved usid, as the economic stugnation and the loss of political influence Q in salellite states show. 4 towards the end of gutrachous rule

The need for domographic when is also a CANCELED AND CONTRACTOR OF A CANCELED OF AN AD CANCELED OF ONE OF A CANCELED OF A CANCELED OF AN AD CANCELED OF A toot tenot supports the weight of the Source 2: The electrical were at "free" showing that yelts in probably represented Empirity of the Russian people, having similar ideas and a different wind set than his predecessor or his of competitors So to speak, Vieltsin Are gives some walid evidence to why he was had been elected regarding the people's needs and the state at Russia at that fine to sum up, the sauce gives a decent amount of evidence for why Keltsin was elected in 1881 , hovever it is not an objective evaluation of the other candidates. The source might only represent one part at vices, have used it is containly valuable when enquiring the 1991 electrons. *The surve shows that yelts in was actively inclued in the process of electric and & Its Analysis, eq. the statement to why many people didn't whe 7

L) gettein also mentions rather conservative candidates, daming that they wanted a CILLENI STUDE IN LUIS did regime of complete a return to the 946+13 (ontro) trobies it is evident. heltsin "nbile Jon 2000 0 7 ive a aboveall completely Factory Ũ ew bu fort Shawna US low forme 36 CONTRX at to the bon state Dife may 10 faci Lelang zi Dizuoz Political also personal interpretation statement, being CLEARYD to wave of AND STORE THE R. generaci

This is a level 4 entry response. Although the contextual knowledge is rather brief it does have focus on the question and there is a good understanding of the source material with inferences developed. The weight of the source is discussed and valid criteria established although the final judgement is not fully developed.

Question 2

On Question 2, stronger responses were targeted on how accurate it is to say that Stalin's policies towards industry and agriculture changed the Soviet economy to a communist system in the years 1929-41. These also included an analysis of relationships between key issues and a focus on the concept (change/ continuity) in the question. Sufficient knowledge to develop the argument was demonstrated too (e.g. collectivisation, the destruction of kulaks, state control of industry under the Five Year Plans). Judgements made about whether Stalin's policies towards industry and agriculture changed the Soviet economy to a communist system were reasoned and based on clear criteria. Higher scoring answers were also clearly organised and effectively communicated. Weaker responses tended to be generalised and, at best, offered a fairly simple, limited analysis of whether Stalin's policies towards industry and agriculture changed the Soviet economy to a communist system. Low scoring answers also often lacked focus on change/ impact or were essentially a description of the economic policies introduced during the period under discussion. Where some analysis using relevant knowledge was evident, it tended to lack range/ depth (e.g. peasant opposition to collectivisation). Furthermore, such

to lack range/ depth (e.g. peasant opposition to collectivisation). Furthermore, such responses were often fairly brief, lacked coherence and structure, and made unsubstantiated or weakly supported judgements.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box 🕺 If you change your mind, put a line through the box ₭ and then indicate your new question with a cross ⊠. Chosen question number: Question 2 Question 3 🖾 Question 4 🗵 years 1929-41 Stalin undertoele a series the change Soviet reforms were to ...cl which Stalin's principal forence. changes here history agriculture industry camied out and had interited a backward country, with Stalin types: Shortage problems of various significant Cfindustrial modernisation. Lach of feod determined to modernise the became Indeed, he was determined to do so no matter the human costs. tenden house Stalin was determined to modernise industry; Markist theory implied, the preletanian 05 here the puture of the USSR and the peasants to obey to simply ULT fulgit the USSR'S heads in the industrial domaine. In order to achieve this modernisation of industry, he needed peasants to provide both a Surplus the CI Ito sell abroad and finance industrialisation] tood nd a supplies of workers (to work in Tholestry) ...a In order to de this he introduced collectivisation. Theoretically, collectivisation was a programme several independent furms to unste and create

Collective farms that would function more efficiently This seemed to be in line with Communism; CIC NUT WRITE IN THIS BREA shaving resources to produce goods for the common good of the state. Nevertheless, in practice, it became a devastating measure Keasants were ferced into these collective forms. Stalin's government had shown lock of understanding of peasant life and traditions. Resostance to collectivitation become a Serious threat and disrupted agricultural production to such an extent that it had NO WOL WRITE IN THIS WREA te be temperarily suspended. Therefore, altriaugh in theory collectivisation leaned to fellow Communist beliefs, it had to be forced on peasants and their life. This would show it was not trying to establish a communist system of equality for the people, but rather, to establish complete over peasant life and agriculture antra _ llus was hardly a communist ideal. In reality UV NUT WRITE IN THIS AREA Stalin's aims vere purely to previde finance needed to expand industry and atch up with western powers The As a result, it could hardly be considered true communist measure aining to establish equality for all , but rather a measure

to bunefit the government. Furthermore, collectivisation failed to increase agricultural production. Yet "surplus" was being sold abroad to raise finance food. expansion. This, along with inductional peasant resistance and the rability to adopt modern farming methods quickly dueloped into widespread famine. Yet, the government's official line remained that such food shortages did hot exat. As a rejult, he measures taken to imprare the situation. Millions uere of people died of hunger, while Stalin's brooms remained silent. government This Statin tool p decision to adapt official silence was due to , among other reasons, the desire to preserve Staling reputation. This been Ironically, in a communist state such as the USSR n people here dying the order to preserve the image and reputation of one individual Stalin's palicie, towards agriculture could hardly be described as true communicit referms. kerhaps the official justification per collectivisation the involved Markist and Communist beliefs. nonetuclers, in practice, these policies ωf collectinisation had become an instrument

13

with which to control and representine peasantry. Stalin's aims or practices were not on the true spinit of communism cor ideology, but a were focused in any developing industry he matter the cests. In order to modernise industry, Stalin introduced the time five year plans . In trality, there plans here little more than quetas or objectives to be mer. There was little planning behind them, which was left to local officials. Industrialisation had been introduced in order to build up the USSR's heavy industry and defence. Stalin's fear of foreign capitalist invarian was to be the main driving fire behind these plans, On the one hand, it could be argued that industrialisation was necessary to be able to defend the USSIR against invaders and evenues. In this light, the fire-year plans could be rewed as a true communist measure, as it aimed to preserve the system against "enil apitalist countries". On the ether hand, however, these plans did close to instring to improve working and living conditions of the

people. Stalin was indufferent to the people's Suffering. Moreover, he claimed that opposition to these plans was xlfish and any complaint should be regarded as trasen. Yet. Stalin's aims or policies Second again, contain little to he communist theory at ho.... , but rather Stalin's pater pretation all Commun 5m The First File-Year Plan was hoplessly Nigged and to pigures were unrealistic (n pear of reproval for not meeting largets. Threfore, it is difficult to draw valid conclusions on the success of this plan. Nonerveless, careful study has indicated that there heavy was a significant Exponsion Industry ; twie was an increase in a iron street or electricity. Hoven, supply of Ruled to the insprace workers' plan di di m anditions wages or lives Second and Third Five Year Plans The had virtually the same effect. The Mr strange of heavy industry yet the feature to prenside bester life for the people. WithQ.,... Industrialisation, Stalin did not only wont 0.1 the USSR appende ensure was prepared for a wax against the

apitalist enemies that existed. He did too, want to prove to the Sovier people and the FEST of the world that a Communist system call bring about a happen as prospercus as a Western apitalist system. The autroduction of such measures coincided with the Depression experimented on the Western that had started with the Wall Street Grash in 1929. Stalin argued that a apitalist system would menitably lead to destruction, and that the true socialist system would be able to prevail expand. This prophesised the communist and fo sature of the industrialisation and claims. Yet, Industrialisation and the five-year programmes here far from being of a true communist hature. Furthermare, - some historians have argued that Stalin's employing on heavy industry in his desire to build on image of a strong and powerful government. Historian Sheila Fitzpamich & described it as "gigantomania". The fire-year plans fulled to imprese working anditions wages or lives in general. In fact, all these were worsened Ribbic housing was over crowded and a hazard

to stopper health i workers' rights here purtuer diminished. Industrialisation Overall, the appears to have been largely Stalin's aims, ideas and fears due.... ho Furthermore, they did not benefit the workers in any way in fact, they have terrorised through and charged constant purge) 🛥 <u>et</u>... "Sabctage " with Therefore, it reems difficult to declare h indusma extremely ischen disigned 1-0 programmes as to have been Control and the second a communist system. Despite the build that it did Whimately fact result in the USSR is ability to defend (in itself had (941-45) 1+ The war with Germany disastraus consequences had in the population as a whole. Distress and terror capstanty experienced. wen conclusion, although Staline mau have claimed hs policies on agriculture and industry aimed to create a Second " in order to fulfil the pirst let Ruolution. October 1917), they had little to do unt the people or their needs. During callectivisation peasants here controlled prepressed and stand o In the drive for industrialisation, Thousands were purges and terronised. Additionally 17

Stalin's aims dud net involve the nect-being the USSRI, population 2020 nere centred establishinglsis... Supreme unchallengeable Control and 103 vell building -conorwy fer the wars to Come Stalin's refams of consequently, agniculture industrialisation. contemplated and annot he Sincere of a communist nature , but rather policies to increase anord disquised socialist reforms. al

This is a level 4 response. It is particularly strong on the discussion of agriculture. Key issues relevant to the question and explored and developed with sufficient knowledge to address the conceptual focus of the question. Valid criteria for judgement are established and the argument is logical and communicated well.

Question 3

On Question 3, stronger responses were targeted on how accurate it is to say that the status of women improved in the years 1917-53. These also included an analysis of relationships between key issues and a focus on the concept (change/ continuity) in the question. Sufficient knowledge to develop the argument was demonstrated too (e.g. employment opportunities in the Five Year Plans, role in the collectives, educational opportunities, political opportunities, marriage and childcare). Judgements made about whether the status of women improved in the years 1917-53 were reasoned and based on clear criteria. Higher scoring answers were also clearly organised and effectively communicated. Weaker responses tended to be generalised and, at best, offered a fairly simple, limited analysis of whether the status of women improved in the years 1917-53. Low scoring answers also often lacked focus on change/impact or were essentially a description of the economic policies introduced during the period under discussion. Where some analysis using relevant knowledge was evident, it tended to lack range/ depth (e.g. women were still responsible for domestic duties). Furthermore, such responses were often fairly brief, lacked coherence and structure, and made unsubstantiated or weakly supported judgements.

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box 🖾. If you change your mind, put a line through the box 密 and then indicate your new question with a cross 図. Chosen question number: Question 2 Question 3 Question 4 The status of women in the years 1917-53 saw great reforms as the leadership changed. Lenin moimproved the lives of womentremendowly and while the same can be noted under stalin it was not to the same extent. Under Lenin the Bolsheviks aimed to achieve ge equality as for them a communist was a communist regardless of gender. They saw marriage 010 bourgeois institution and as a form of sharely becau sexually and Einancially exploited women were by their husband. Therefore they believed that b aptil swipe they would achieve equality and in 1918 under the Bolshenik's family lode they introduced easier and allowing a law making divorce 12mac to initiate it without permission from their husbands In addition & the baba was banned - a deraga survey wan bluas remain 6 no manager to 100 biou higher education without having to ask their husbands However the post-cord divorces Red to the USSR having the highest divorce rate in Europe. This right was also missied as men initiated FOPER ant of divorces leaving leading to F-9 million orphans Abortion and contraceptives were allowed with abortion ownombering five births in Moscars in 3.

11 Turn ann b

The state also tried to help women with the double day with the cieches, law diles and state vitchens. However this was whealistic as they lacked the lesources and it amounted to more than the national budget. Therefore we see that while the Bolsheviks had good intentions this did not transfer in reality. In politics women were what well represented and hence the Zhetotdel was created, the women's department to soney is percent of when were women. Howen The biggest achievement in politics was the the right to vote that women received in 1918. This was a huge deal as in other cash likes when had Eacy this right whereas in the USSR the Bolsheviks understood the importance phinchtoggo Pacipaba philadapa copationity IK However only 2 women were part of the Central Committee and one of them was Lenin's Wife Hence we see that in politics women were not present. In order to achieve economic independence women should enter the workforce and this 000 of Atics 2000 give att 4 deglad 2000 comenserving yes not having the toppositions that men did. Nillion JoE women world in Eactories yet in lique industry live textiles The successes of the Civil was were marked 12

by what collowed we reter men returned acte the war women were often unvilled and last their jobs being last in dire situations and turning to prostitution to survive In general the reason the reforms of the Bolshevils did not ocheve the desired result was because of the traditional and sexist attitudes that men had towards when that could not even be changed

pylenin & with the oppointment of Stalin enormous changes ensued for women as now finditional sexual attitudes were reasserted, a change from Lenin's more Riberal policies. Stalin wanted women to enter the work are because he wanted to increase production and take individualism away as now women served the state and not their camilies However this was not lite Lenin for the economic independence or feminism nemous of i resterted trover a trained for the training Adtainituallas bib et ungestraa som medt taken 30 had negative impacts on women as they had to conjusts builder Because men weretransported to Eachories Kave to social engineering or because they Eled to the cities in search of better jobs women were left to carry out collectivi sation, so percent of workers in collective

use women This often meant law Earns wages and very hard work In addition during the second world work Examen served as the second pilots nurses and machine owners a change From the Civil was when women did not do the work men did. Also, 89 women were awarded the Hero of the Soviet Union award for their service. Noneover in higher education only 20 per cent of seals user reserved for women yet In engineering a male-dominated field this surprisingly reached to percent women 13 million women were employed yet this does not mean they entered the work Earce because they chose to but 1944er it was because they needed it to sorvive the wives of find of such lon bib, cavil tang baril 2001330 cint. I row Socios bib realization to d xige success interms of women elving concertable River but they only accient this through a change Erom the reform Li Dullo spainiam that Lenin blought to emancipate women Erom their husbands. Hence it seems that their Web a change Erom Lenins idea a Eequality in the workplace and as even though more women began working it do was not done out of choice

The Great Retreat signified a massive change to more traditional attitudes and restricted the freedom and equality that women had received during Stalin stalin encounced pregnancy and had awards like soon rashles to mothers withelever children Also he discouraged divorce because he was estovit 30 scassal entry with the increase of divolge Lenin Divoice was made more expensive under costing 50 roubles and men wer had to pay 13 ught 31 ena blive right of equinizes right 30 failed to do this they faced & years in prison. Staling also tried to introduce of comance ond glamour into the institution of marriage with the collowing a manage certificates were printed on quality paper as to emphasize the importance of the Union wedding rings were once agoin available and Party men decide L were given dochas and more spacious homes In addition Stalin took several steps in ensuring that women dist were not Evely in control of their sexual reproductive lives. A contrast to Lenin's polices of sexual Eredomand equality. Contractprises and abortion were not allowed or mengelike virginitu checks were carried out, male homo incest bigomi were re-criminalised and sexual abstimence was encouraged. Therefore it is clear that 15

Franges & Elucar basicab L'allotz Farainoo Zint * of men and Boper cent of women above 30 welle manied K Stalin's policies were a big enange from Levin's as they elmited boomens fleedom and tormust TOOLO massive 512' P backward o equality Once age in women w cuture or the the DE their bodie 61001625 1990 Del iered under enin wined out replaced with more contervative 049 were good and did genuinelly intentions O. WILC CONCLUSION 20 Prizz Neve right typ remow not 1001 (mprovement) Kteloc and freedom thenreceived and worrened their kives. The only 0009 Increase employment which was 400 seleish reasons and hot for the DOW6 In conclusion womens Rives imploye policies and then Lenin and his more Riberal worsened under Stalin and his change tigdittonal decisions dictoted by conservative opinion

This is a level 4 response. There is an exploration of the key issues and an analysis of the relationships between the key features of the period. There is a real depth of knowledge that underpins the argument and a focus on change although this is not maintained throughout the answer. Valid criteria are established and there is a strong judgement in the conclusion.

Question 4

There were very few responses to this question

On Question 4, stronger responses targeted the extent to which attacks on organised religious beliefs and practices in the years 1929-64 resulted in the destruction of organised religion and included an analysis of the links between key factors and a clear focus on the concept (consequence). Sufficient knowledge to develop the argument (1929 law, impact of collectivisation, impact of the Second World War, closure of churches under Khrushchev) was demonstrated. Judgements made about the extent to which attacks on organised religious beliefs and practices in the years 1929-64 resulted in the destruction of organised religion were reasoned and based on clear criteria. Higher scoring answers were also clearly organised and effectively communicated. Weaker responses tended to be generalised and, at best, offered a fairly simple, limited analysis of the extent to which attacks on organised religious beliefs and practices in the years 1929-64 resulted in the destruction of organised religion in relevant period. Low scoring answers also often lacked focus on consequence or were essentially a description of some policies in the relevant period. Where some analysis using relevant knowledge was often evident, it tended to lack range/ depth (e.g. limited comments Lenin's attitude to religion). Furthermore, such responses were often fairly brief, lacked coherence and structure, and made unsubstantiated or weakly supported judgements.

Based on their performance on this paper, candidates are offered the following advice:

Section A

Value of Source Question 1(a)

- Candidates must be more prepared to make valid inferences rather than to paraphrase the source
- Candidates should be prepared to back up inferences by adding additional contextual knowledge from beyond the source
- Candidates need to move beyond stereotypical approaches to the nature/ purpose and authorship of the source e.g. look at the specific stance and/ or purpose of the writer
- Candidates should avoid writing about the deficiencies of the source when assessing its value to the enquiry

Weight of Source Question 1(b)

• Candidates should be prepared to assess the weight of the source for an enquiry by being aware that the author is writing for a specific audience. Be aware of the values and concerns of that audience.

- Candidates should try to distinguish between fact and opinion by using their contextual knowledge of the period
- In coming to a judgement about the nature/ purpose of the source, candidates should take account of the weight that may be gived to the author's evidence in the light of his or her stance and/ or purpose
- In assessing weight, it is perfectly permissible to assess reliability by considering what has been perhaps deliberately omitted from the source

Section B

Essay questions

- Candidates must provide more factual details as evidence. Weaker responses lacked depth and sometimes range
- Candidates should take a few minutes to plan their answer before beginning to write
- Candidates should pick out three or four key themes and then provide an analysis of (for e.g.) the target significance mentioned in the question, setting its importance against other themes rather than providing a description of each
- Candidates would benefit from paying careful attention to key phrases in the question when analysing and use them throughout the essay to prevent deviation from the central issues and concepts
- Candidates should try to explore links between issues to make the structure flow more logically and the arguments more integrated.

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at 80 Strand, London WC2R 0RL